Prepared for submission to JHEP

The manifest symmetry of the all-loop-orders scalar effective potential of the spontaneously broken Abelian Higgs model derived from Ward-Takahashi identities

Özenç Güngör,[a] **Bryan W. Lynn,**[a,b] **and Glenn D. Starkman**[a]

[a] *ISO/CERCA/Department of Physics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106-7079*

[b] *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK*

E-mail: oxg34@case.edu, bryan.lynn@cern.ch, gds6@case.edu

arXiv:1701.05949v1 [hep-ph] 20 Jan 2017

Abstract: The weak-scale $U(1)_Y$ Abelian Higgs Model (AHM) is the spontaneous-symmetry-breaking (SSB) gauge theory of a scalar $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(H + i\pi) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde{H}e^{i\tilde{\pi}/\langle H\rangle}$ and a vector A^μ. In Lorenz gauge, $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$, the SSB AHM has: a massless pseudo-scalar π; a conserved (up to gauge-fixing terms) $U(1)_Y$ global physical current; no conserved $U(1)_Y$ charge; and a Goldstone Theorem, where $\tilde{\pi}$ becomes a true massless Nambu-Goldstone boson (NGB). Two towers of "1-soft-pion" SSB global WTI govern the ϕ-sector. The 1^{st} tower gives relations among connected off-shell Green's functions, and powerful constraints on the all-loop-orders ϕ-sector effective AHM Lagrangian. The 2^{nd} tower, governing *on-shell* connected T-matrix elements, further severely constrains the *physics*. It guarantees IR finiteness for zero NGB $\tilde{\pi}$ mass; includes the Lee-Stora-Symanzik (LSS) Theorem, $m_\pi^2 = 0$, forcing the on-shell T-matrix element with only two external pseudoscalar legs to vanish; and is the source of an additional NGB global shift symmetry $\tilde{\pi} \to \tilde{\pi} + \langle H\rangle\theta$.

Using the Green's functions and the LSS Theorem of the theory, we construct the manifestly symmetric quantum effective potential of the scalar sector of the Goldstone mode of the AHM, in the Lorenz gauge, to all orders in quantum loops. To do so, we solve the Ward Takahashi identities of the theory (developed in [1]) recursively, and express arbitrary ϕ-sector Green's functions in terms of those with no external scalar legs and an even number of pseudoscalar legs. The resulting expression for the effective potential is much easier to evaluate at a given order in quantum loops, since many fewer diagrams need be computed for a given number of external ϕ legs.

In the Kibble representation, the so-constructed effective scalar potential is gauge-independent.

Keywords: Effective field theories, Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking, Higgs physics, Global Symmetries

1 Introduction

What are the symmetries of spontaneously broken Abelian Higgs Model (AHM) *physics*? The symmetries of its *Lagrangian* are well known [2], but local gauge invariance is lost in the AHM Lagrangian, broken by gauge-fixing terms, and replaced with global BRST invariance [3].

Nevertheless, Slavnov-Taylor identities [4] prove that the on-shell S-Matrix elements of "*physical states*" A_μ, h, π (but not fermionic ghosts ω, $\bar{\eta}$) are independent of the usual anomaly-free $U(1)_Y$ gauge/local transformations even though these break the Lagrangian's BRST symmetry. B.W. Lynn, G.D. Starkman and R. Stora observed [1] that they are therefore also independent of the anomaly-free $U(1)_Y$ *global/rigid* transformations, resulting in "new" global/rigid currents and appropriate $U(1)_Y$ Ward-Takahashi Identities.

In this paper we consider the Goldstone (i.e. spontaneously broken) mode of the Abelian Higgs Model [5–8] in the Lorenz gauge, with Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{inv} + \mathcal{L}_{GF} + \mathcal{L}_{Ghost}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{inv} &= -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + |D_\mu\phi|^2 - \mu_\phi^2\phi^\dagger\phi - \lambda_\phi^2(\phi^\dagger\phi)^2, \\
\mathcal{L}_{GF} &= -\lim_{\xi\to 0}\frac{1}{2\xi}(\partial^\mu A_\mu)^2, \\
\mathcal{L}_{Ghost} &= \bar{\eta}(-\partial^2)\omega, \\
\text{with} \quad \phi &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\langle H\rangle + h + i\pi), \quad D_\mu\phi \equiv (\partial_\mu - ieY_\phi A_\mu)\phi\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Here A_μ is the $U(1)_Y$ gauge field, $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the Abelian field-strength tensor for A_μ, Y_ϕ is the $U(1)_Y$ quantum number of ϕ, $\langle H\rangle$ is the vacuum expectation value of ϕ and $\omega, \bar{\eta}$ are the $U(1)_Y$ ghost and anti-ghost fields. It has four apparently independent all-loop-orders renormalized parameters, λ_ϕ^2, μ_ϕ^2, e and $\langle H\rangle$. The Lee-Stora-Symanzik (LSS) theorem [1], i.e. $m_\pi^2 \equiv \mu_\phi^2 + \lambda_\phi^2\langle H\rangle^2 = 0$, relates three of these parameters to one another.

We focus here specifically on the scalar-sector effective potential, appropriate for processes involving only external scalars. This can be written as

$$V_\phi^{eff} = -\sum_{N,M=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma_{N,M}}{N!M!}h^N\pi^M \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Gamma_{N,M}$ are the one-scalar-particle-irreducible (1-ϕ-I but not 1-A_μ-I) Green's functions with N external h and M external π legs at zero momenta. The factorials account for the symmetry factors of the external states.

The scalar-sector effective potential must ultimately reflect the (quantum) symmetries of the scalar-sector of the theory. These are partially encoded in the Ward-Takahashi Identities (WTI), which are relations among correlation functions that follow from the conserved currents of the theory. For a conserved current $j^\mu(\varphi)$, with $\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0$, corresponding to a transformation of a scalar field $\varphi \to \varphi' = \varphi + \delta\varphi$, that leaves the product of the path-integral measure and the exponential of the action invariant, i.e.

$$\mathcal{D}\varphi e^{iS[\varphi]} = \mathcal{D}\varphi' e^{iS[\varphi']}\,, \tag{1.3}$$

the Ward-Takahashi identities take the form (see, for example, [9])

$$\partial_\mu \langle 0|T[j^\mu(z)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\varphi(x_i)]|0\rangle = i\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta(z-x_i)\langle 0|T[\delta\varphi(x_i)\prod_{j\neq i}\varphi(x_j)]|0\rangle\,. \tag{1.4}$$

Equation (1.4) states that the divergence of a vacuum-to-vacuum transition amplitude of the product of a Noether current and field operators vanishes except at the insertion points of the field operators. The WTIs are thus quantum generalizations of the Noether-current conservation law.

Here we focus on the WTIs generated by the rigid/global $U(1)_Y$ current

$$J^\mu = \pi\partial^\mu H - H\partial^\mu\pi - eA^\mu(\pi^2+H^2)\,, \tag{1.5}$$

embedded in the AHM local/gauge theory. The situation for this BRST-invariant AHM gauge theory is completely different than for the corresponding global $U(1)_Y$ linear sigma model ($L\Sigma M$). Gauge-fixing terms force non-conservation of the AHM current (1.5) in the spontaneously broken case. In Lorenz gauge,

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = -Hm_A F_A \tag{1.6}$$

where $m_A = e\langle H\rangle$, and $F_A = \partial_\alpha A^\alpha$ is the gauge fixing condition.

Despite (1.6), the rigid/global $U(1)_Y$ AHM current (1.5) is still conserved for the physical states of the theory [1]. The physical states and their time-ordered products, not the BRST invariant AHM Lagrangian, obey the Lorenz gauge-fixing condition due to G. 't Hooft [10]. This can be expressed as

$$\langle 0|T\big[(\partial_\alpha A^\alpha)h(x_1)\dots h(x_N)\pi(y_1)\dots\pi(y_{M-1})\big]|0\rangle_C = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

The condition (1.7) forces the Lorenz gauge on the physical states and the physical correlation functions of the theory, such as a correlation function of N h fields with coordinate labels x_n and $M-1$ π fields with coordinate labels y_m as in (1.7). As a consequence of (1.7), the current in (1.5) is conserved on the physical states and their time-ordered products so that

$$\langle 0|T\big[(\partial_\alpha J^\alpha)h(x_1)\dots h(x_N)\pi(y_1)\dots\pi(y_{M-1})\big]|0\rangle_C = 0 \tag{1.8}$$

where the subscript C stands for connected amplitudes in the scalar sector.

One might have hoped to build a conserved charge

$$Q(t) = \int d^3z J^0(t,\vec{z}) \tag{1.9}$$

that, avoiding gauge fixing terms in (1.6), is conserved on the physical states and their time-ordered products in analogy to (1.8), but this approach was shown to fail [1] due to the masslessness of the π field in the spontaneously broken AHM.

$$\langle 0|T\Big[\frac{dQ}{dt}h(x_1)\dots h(x_N)\pi(y_1)\dots\pi(y_{M-1})\Big]|0\rangle_C \neq 0 \tag{1.10}$$

The non-conservation of the global $U(1)_Y$ charge allows the generation of mass for the vector fields, and enables the theory to avoid massless scalars in its observable spectrum [5–8], since the usual proof of the Goldstone theorem for global theories requires $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}Q = 0$ [11, 12], and so fails for the spontaneously broken gauge theory.

For the spontaneously broken AHM in the Lorenz gauge, the Ward identities, in analogy to (1.4), become (see [1] for details)

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \langle H\rangle\, \partial_z^\mu \,\langle 0|\, T\big[(\partial_\mu \pi(z)) h(x_1)\dots h(x_N)\pi(y_1)\dots\pi(y_{M-1})\big]\,|0\rangle_C \\
&= i\sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \delta^4(z-y_m)\,\langle 0|\, T\big[h(z)h(x_1)\dots h(x_N)\pi(y_1)\dots\widehat{\pi(y_m)}\dots\pi(y_{M-1})\big]\,|0\rangle_C \\
&- i\sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta^4(z-x_m)\,\langle 0|\, T\big[h(x_1)\dots\widehat{h(x_n)}\dots h(x_N)\pi(z)\pi(y_1)\dots\pi(y_{M-1})\big]\,|0\rangle_C\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

where hatted fields are to be omitted.

Ref. [1] derives 2 towers of WTIs that exhaust the information content of (1.8) and (1.11). The WTIs of the Goldstone-mode AHM for connected truncated one-scalar-particle-irreducible (1-ϕ-I) Green's functions, with N renormalized h fields with momenta p_i and coordinate label x_n, with $M-1$ renormalized π fields with momenta q_j and coordinate label y_m, and with 1 renormalized zero-momentum π with coordinate label z, are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle H\rangle\ \Gamma_{N,M}(p_1,\dots,p_N;0,q_1,\dots,q_{M-1}) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \Gamma_{N+1,M-2}(q_m,p_1,\dots,p_N;q_1,\dots,\widehat{q_m},\dots,q_{M-1}) \\
&- \sum_{n=1}^{N} \Gamma_{N-1,M}(p_1,\dots,\widehat{p_n},\dots,p_N;p_n,q_1,\dots,q_{M-1})\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

where hatted momenta again represent omitted fields. As we are interested in the effective potential, a more convenient form of the WTIs at zero external momenta is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_{N,M} = \frac{\langle H\rangle}{M+1}\Gamma_{N-1,M+2} + \frac{N-1}{M+1}\Gamma_{N-2,M+2}, \\
&\Gamma_{N,M} \equiv \Gamma_{N,M}(0,\dots,0;0,\dots,0).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

This encodes symmetries of the theory and can be used to simplify the expression (1.2) for the effective potential.

The WTIs (1.13) exhaust the symmetries of the connected truncated zero-external-momentum Green's functions, but the S-matrix (1.8) still has additional symmetry due to properties of the physical states [1]. These are reflected in the Adler self-consistency relations [13], written in terms of connected truncated on-shell one-scalar-particle-*reducible (1-ϕ-R)* T-matrix elements, with N renormalized h fields with momenta p_i and coordinate label x_n, $M-1$ renormalized π fields with momenta q_j and coordinate label y_m, and 1 renormalized zero-momentum π with coordinate label z. For the AHM these are [1]

$$0 = \langle H\rangle\, T_{N,M}(p_1,\dots,p_N;0,q_1,\dots,q_{M-1})(2\pi)^4\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n + \sum_{m=1}^{M} q_m\Big)_{p_n^2=m_h^2,q_m^2=0}. \tag{1.14}$$

In the Goldstone mode of the theory, the $N = 0$, $M = 2$ case is

$$\langle H\rangle\, T_{0,2}(;0,0) = 0 \tag{1.15}$$

ensuring that π remains massless to all orders in quantum loops.[1] Eqn. (1.15) is the LSS theorem. Observing that $T_{0,2}(;0,0)$ is already 1-ϕ-I, the Adler self-consistency condition (1.15) for $N = 0, M = 2$ also demands that

$$\langle H\rangle\, \Gamma_{0,2} = -\langle H\rangle\, m_\pi^2 = 0. \tag{1.16}$$

The Adler self-consistency relations for the AHM also enforce that Green's functions with an odd number of external π legs vanish, which we use below in the derivation of the scalar-sector effective potential of equation (1.2). Finally, the renormalization procedure is expressed by fixing the quartic coupling constant to be related to the 4-point 1-ϕ-I Green's function at zero external momenta

$$\lambda_\phi^2 = -\frac{1}{6}\Gamma_{0,4}\,. \tag{1.17}$$

When the renormalization condition in (1.17) and the Adler self-consistency relations are enforced, the effective scalar-sector Lagrangian for the AHM becomes [1], for terms of dimension ≤ 4

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\phi^{eff} &= |D_\mu\phi|^2 - V_\phi^{eff} \\ V_\phi^{eff} &= \lambda_\phi^2\zeta^2 \\ \zeta &= \phi^\dagger\phi - \frac{\langle H\rangle^2}{2} = \frac{h^2+\pi^2}{2} + \langle H\rangle h \end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

In [1], the authors focused on terms with dimension less than or equal to four. Below, we will focus on terms with dimensions greater than 4 and enforce the WTIs in (1.13) to greatly simplify the full effective scalar-sector potential.

2 The All-Loop-Orders Scalar-Sector Effective Potential in Lorenz Gauge

We shall show in Appendix A that equation (1.13) can be solved recursively to express $\Gamma_{N,M}$ as a linear combination of $\Gamma_{0,m}$, thus expressing all relevant Green's functions in

[1] This appears remarkably like the Goldstone Theorem, which demands that the Nambu Goldstone Boson (NGB) of a spontaneously broken global symmetry be purely derivatively coupled and so have zero mass to all orders in quantum loops. However, π is not that derivatively coupled field. The actual NGB, $\tilde{\pi}$ is found by transforming ϕ to the Kibble representation: $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde{H}e^{i\tilde{\pi}/\langle H\rangle}$, a transformation that can be done whenever $\langle H\rangle \neq 0$, i.e. in the spontaneously broken theory. $\tilde{\pi}$ *is* derivatively coupled and hence massless, $m_{\tilde{\pi}} = 0$, as per the Goldstone Theorem, despite that $\tilde{\pi}$ decouples from the other propagating degrees of freedom with appropriate gauge choices. For a discussion of the existence of the Goldstone Theorem in the spontaneously broken $U(1)_Y$ gauge theory in Lorenz gauge see [14]. In the meantime we see that the vanishing of m_π^2, i.e. of $\Gamma_{0,2}$, is an independent piece of information from the Goldstone Theorem in the gauge theory. We refer to this as the B.W. Lee, R. Stora and K. Symanzik (LSS) Theorem, since it is one of the on-shell T-Matrix WTI obtained by those three in global sigma models (with or without PCAC). The distinctness of the LSS theorem from the Goldstone Theorem in spontaneously broken local gauge theories in the presence of global BRST symmetry, was first appreciated with Raymond Stora in [1].

terms of Green's functions with no external h legs:

$$\Gamma_{N,M} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(M-1)!!N!}{(M+2N-2k-1)!!} \frac{\langle H \rangle^{N-2k}}{(2k)!!(N-2k)!} \Gamma_{0,M+2(N-k)} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is defined as $\lfloor x \rfloor \equiv \max\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \,|\, m \leq x\}$. Equation (2.1) allows one to vastly reduce the number of diagrams that must be computed for a given number of external legs and at a given order in quantum loops. Moreover, diagrams with no external h legs and an even number of π legs are much easier to evaluate than generic diagrams.

Using eq. (2.1) in (1.2), we will first show that the effective potential for the scalar sector becomes

$$V_\phi^{eff} = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \Gamma_{0,2n} \Big(h^2 + \pi^2 + 2 \langle H \rangle h \Big)^n. \tag{2.2}$$

The Green's functions $\Gamma_{0,2n}$ are renormalized to all orders in quantum loops, and 1-ϕ-I but not 1-A_μ-I. They contain the symmetries encoded in the WTIs. For arbitrary n, $\Gamma_{0,2n}$ can be calculated to order k in loops to generate the correct renormalized effective potential up to that loop order.

The LSS Theorem (1.16) (i.e. the Adler self consistency condition for $N = 0, M = 2$) ensures the masslessness of π. The Adler self-consistency conditions (1.14) also recursively force all Green's functions with an odd number of external π legs to vanish. Eqns. (1.14) and (1.15) are enforced in equation (A.17) by defining $M = 2m$ in (2.1). Converting double factorials into normal ones and defining $j = N - q$, the scalar-sector effective potential becomes

$$V_\phi^{eff} = -\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{2m}}{m!} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} h^N \sum_{j=\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil}^{N} \frac{\big(2 \langle H \rangle\big)^{2j-N}}{(N-j)!(2j-N)!} \frac{(m+j)!}{\big(2(m+j)\big)!} \Gamma_{0,2(m+j)}. \tag{2.3}$$

For a fixed value of m, because of the sum on N, the index j runs from 0 to ∞. We investigate the coefficients of $\Gamma_{0,2(m+j)}$ for a fixed value of j and note that N has to run from j to $2j$. If we define $N = i + j$, the range of N forces i to run from 0 to j. If we also define $n = m + j$, then the r.h.s. of (2.3) can be rewritten more simply as

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{2m}}{m!} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_{0,2n} n!}{(2n)!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} \frac{h^{2i} \big(2 \langle H \rangle h\big)^{n-i-m}}{i!(n-i-m)!}. \tag{2.4}$$

To find the coefficients of $\Gamma_{0,2n}$ for a fixed value of n, where n ranges from 0 to ∞, note that, because of the sum on n from m to ∞, the terms that contain $\Gamma_{0,2n}$ for a fixed n can only come from $m = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. After relabelling $m = j$, this observation leads us to the following expression for the r.h.s of (2.3)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_{0,2n} n!}{(2n)!} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\pi^{2j}}{j!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-j} \frac{h^{2i} \big(2 \langle H \rangle h\big)^{n-i-j}}{i!(n-i-j)!}. \tag{2.5}$$

The series in (2.5) contains a trinomial expansion

$$n!\sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{\pi^{2j}}{j!}\sum_{i=0}^{n-j}\frac{h^{2i}\left(2\left\langle H\right\rangle h\right)^{n-i-j}}{i!(n-i-j)!}=\left(h^2+\pi^2+2\left\langle H\right\rangle h\right)^n$$

and thus the effective potential is expressed as in (2.2).

The scalar-sector effective potential V_ϕ^{eff} in (2.2) respects the symmetries of the theory through the WTIs. It is built out of connected truncated 1-ϕ-I (but not 1-A_μ-I) Green's functions. The renormalization condition is that the quartic coupling constant is related to the 1-ϕ-I Green's function with four external π legs at zero external momenta as in (1.17). However, the *physics* of V_ϕ^{eff} must also obey the further symmetries of the on-shell T-Matrix: i.e. connectedness and the LSS Theorem (1.15).

The Green's function version of the LSS Theorem (1.16), and the renormalization condition (1.17), must therefore be imposed on (2.2). The $n=0$ term is composed of disconnected diagrams, which are excluded by the definition of the T-Matrix. The $n=1$ term vanishes because of the LSS Theorem (1.16): Green's functions and T-Matrix elements with zero external h particles and two external π particles vanish. We are left with

$$V_\phi^{eff}=-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2n)!}\Gamma_{0,2n}\left(h^2+\pi^2+2\left\langle H\right\rangle h\right)^n, \tag{2.6}$$

where $n=2$ is fixed by the renormalization condition in (1.17). Re-expressing V_ϕ^{eff} in terms of the variable ζ in (1.18), the scalar-sector effective Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\phi^{eff}&=|D_\mu\phi|^2-V_\phi^{eff}\\ V_\phi^{eff}&=\lambda_\phi^2\zeta^2-\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}\frac{2^n}{(2n)!}\Gamma_{0,2n}\zeta^n\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

This governs low-energy scalar-sector physics in Lorenz gauge.

3 Gauge-Independent Effective AHM Scalar Potential

To see that the theory has the usual Nambu-Goldstone boson (NGB) $\tilde{\pi}$, we transform to the Kibble representation $\phi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde{H}e^{i\frac{\tilde{\pi}}{\left\langle H\right\rangle}}$ with $Y_\phi=-1$, $\tilde{H}=\left\langle H\right\rangle+\tilde{h}$, and re-write (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\phi^{eff}=&\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu\tilde{H}\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}e^2\tilde{H}^2\left(-Y_\phi A_\mu+\frac{1}{e\left\langle H\right\rangle}\partial_\mu\tilde{\pi}\right)^2\\ &-\frac{\lambda_\phi^2}{4}\left(\tilde{h}^2+2\left\langle H\right\rangle\tilde{h}\right)^2-\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2n)!}V_{2n}\left(\tilde{h}^2+2\left\langle H\right\rangle\tilde{h}\right)^n\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $V_{2n}\equiv-\Gamma_{0,2n}$ in the Kibble representation.

We see that $\tilde{\pi}$ is purely derivatively coupled and has a shift symmetry for constant θ

$$\tilde{\pi}\to\tilde{\pi}+\theta\left\langle H\right\rangle. \tag{3.2}$$

so that $\tilde{\pi}$ is a Nambu-Goldstone boson to all orders in loops.

Defining the physical/observable gauge field

$$B_\mu = A_\mu + \frac{1}{e\langle H\rangle}\partial_\mu\tilde{\pi} \tag{3.3}$$

the NGB $\tilde{\pi}$ is "eaten" by the vector field and the physical external states consist only of the Brout-Englert-Higgs boson $\tilde{h}$ with mass $m_{\tilde{h}}^2 = 2\lambda_\phi^2\langle H\rangle^2$ and the massive gauge boson B_μ with mass $m_B^2 = e^2\langle H\rangle^2$.

G. Guralnik, T. Hagen and T.W.B. Kibble [8], and T.W.B. Kibble [14], showed that, in the Kibble representation in Lorenz gauge, the $U(1)_Y$ AHM quantum states factorize [1]:

$$\Big|\Psi\Big(A^\mu;\phi;\bar{\eta},\omega\Big)\Big\rangle \to \Big|\Psi^{Particles}\Big(B^\mu;\tilde{H}\Big)\Big\rangle\Big|\Psi^{Ghost}\Big(\bar{\eta},\omega\Big)\Big\rangle\Big|\Psi^{Goldstone}\Big(\tilde{\pi}\Big)\Big\rangle \tag{3.4}$$

With $\partial^2\omega = 0$ and $\partial^2\bar{\eta} = 0$, the ghost ω and anti-ghost $\bar{\eta}$ are free and massless and de-couple in Lorenz gauge.

Crucially, the Lorenz gauge condition is re-written

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{GF} &= -\lim_{\xi\to 0}\frac{1}{2\xi}\Big(\partial_\mu A^\mu\Big)^2 \\ &= -\lim_{\xi\to 0}\frac{1}{2\xi}\Big(\partial_\mu B^\mu\Big)^2 - \lim_{\xi\to 0}\frac{1}{2\xi}\Big(\frac{1}{e\langle H\rangle}\partial^2\tilde{\pi}\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{e\langle H\rangle}\partial^2\tilde{\pi} - 2\partial_\mu B^\mu\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Besides enforcing the new Lorenz gauge-fixing constraint $\partial_\mu B^\mu = 0$, the auxiliary solution to the gauge-fixing condition (3.5) is $\partial^2\tilde{\pi} = 0$, which forces $\tilde{\pi}$ to be a free massless particle. The NGB $\tilde{\pi}$ therefore completely decouples from the observable particle spectrum and its dynamics [8, 14], whose states factorize as in (3.4).

After the $\tilde{\pi}$ NGB decouples, the effective Lagrangian which governs low-energy scalar-sector physics becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\phi^{eff} &= \frac{1}{2}\Big|(\partial_\mu + ieB_\mu)\tilde{H}\Big|^2 - V_\phi^{eff} \\ V_\phi^{eff} &= \frac{\lambda_\phi^2}{4}\Big(\tilde{H}^2 - \langle H\rangle^2\Big)^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} V_{2n}\Big(\tilde{H}^2 - \langle H\rangle^2\Big)^n \\ \zeta &= \phi^\dagger\phi - \frac{\langle H\rangle^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\tilde{H}^2 - \langle H\rangle^2\Big) \\ \tilde{H} &= \tilde{h} + \langle H\rangle; \qquad \langle\tilde{h}\rangle = 0;\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

λ_ϕ^2, $\langle H\rangle$, V_{2n} and (3.6) are proved gauge-independent by S.-H. Henry Tye and Y. Vtorov-Karevsky [15].

4 Conclusion

We have solved the WTIs of the Goldstone mode of the Abelian Higgs Model recursively to express 1-ϕ-I connected truncated Green's functions at zero momentum in Lorenz gauge, in terms of such Green's functions at zero momentum with no external h legs. We enforced the

Lee-Stora-Symanzik (LSS) Theorem on the solution, thus ensuring that the pseudoscalar π remains massless to all orders in quantum loops, and forcing Green's functions with an odd number of external π legs to vanish. The renormalization condition is expressed through the relation of the quartic coupling constant to the zero-momentum Green's function with four external π and zero external h legs (equation (1.17)). Together with the Green's functions, the Adler self-consistency relations and the LSS Theorem, the recursive solution to the WTIs includes all the symmetries of the theory.

We simplified the expression for the effective potential using the symmetries encoded in the WTIs and the LSS Theorem. We expressed arbitrary Green's funcions $\Gamma_{N,M}$ of N external renormalized h legs and M external renormalized π legs at zero external momentum as linear combinations of Green's functions $\Gamma_{0,2m}$ of only an even number of renormalized external π legs at zero external momentum. The expression in (1.2) is renormalized to all orders in quantum loops and respects the symmetries of the theory including the LSS Theorem. The 1-ϕ-I zero-external-momentum connected truncated Green's functions $\Gamma_{0,2n}$ and V_{2n} are easier to evaluate than arbitrary ones and the expression vastly reduces the number of diagrams to be calculated for a given order in quantum loops.

The 2 towers of WTIs derived in [1] are in the Lorenz gauge. In the Kibble representation, the $\tilde{\pi}$ field is "eaten" by the observable vector field B_μ and decouples from the observable particle spectrum of $\tilde{h}, B_\mu$. The scalar sector of the AHM, expressed in the Kibble representation, is gauge independent as proven by [15].[2]

[2] *Extended AHM (E-AHM):* The AHM results here are easily extended to include certain heavy $U(1)_Y$ scalar Φ and fermion ψ matter fields ($M_\Phi^2, M_\psi^2 \sim M_{Heavy}^2 \gg \langle H \rangle^2 \sim m_{Weak}^2$) added to the AHM [1]. We separate E-AHM degrees of freedom into

- Light $E-AHM$: $A^\mu; h, \pi; \bar{\eta}, \omega$
- Heavy $E-AHM$: Spin $S=0$ scalars Φ and $S=\frac{1}{2}$ fermions ψ with $M_{Heavy}^2 \gg m_{Weak}^2$

and ignore non-analytic operators, which are beyond the scope of this paper. As shown in detail in [1], after the $\tilde{\pi}$ NGB decouples, the effective Lagrangian which governs low-energy scalar-sector physics becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\phi;E-AHM}^{Eff} &= \frac{1}{2}\left|(\partial_\mu + ieB_\mu)\tilde{H}\right|^2 - V_{\phi;E-AHM}^{Eff} + \mathcal{O}^{Ignore} \\ V_{\phi;E-AHM}^{eff} &= \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{\phi;E-AHM}^2\left(\tilde{H}^2 - \langle H\rangle^2\right)^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} V_{2n}^{E-AHM}\left(\tilde{H}^2 - \langle H\rangle^2\right)^n \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\lambda_{\phi;E-AHM}^2$, $\langle H\rangle$, V_{2n}^{E-AHM} and (4.1) are proved gauge-independent [1] by extension of the work of S.-H. Henry Tye and Y. Vtorov-Karevsky [15]. After renormalization, the coefficients in (4.1) include the contributions:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\phi;E-AHM}^2 &= \lambda_{\phi;AHM}^2 + \mathcal{O}^{Irrelevant}_{m_{Weak}^2/M_{Heavy}^2} \\ V_{2n}^{E-AHM} &= V_{2n}^{AHM} + \mathcal{O}^{Irrelevant}_{m_{Weak}^2/M_{Heavy}^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Here $\mathcal{O}^{Irrelevant}_{m_{Weak}^2/M_{Heavy}^2}$ are the contributions of irrelevant operators that vanish as $m_{Weak}^2/M_{Heavy}^2 \to 0$. We see that the heavy particles decouple in the $m_{Weak}^2/M_{Heavy}^2 \to 0$ limit, in obedience to the Appelquist Carazzone decoupling theorem [17].

In future work we will

- Calculate, to 1-loop, the gauge-independent constant coefficients λ^2_ϕ, V_{2n} in (3.6) in the AHM, and compare with the literature [16];
- Calculate, to 1-loop, the gauge-independent coefficients $\lambda^2_{\phi;E-AHM}, V^{E-AHM}_{2n}$, in the E-AHM augmented with certain heavy $U(1)_Y$ scalar Φ and fermion ψ matter fields, and compare with the literature [16];
- Extend that work to the Standard Model with Dirac neutrino masses ($\nu_D SM$), and also the $\nu_D SM$ augmented with certain heavy $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ scalar Φ and fermion ψ matter fields.

Acknowledgments

OG and GDS are partially supported by grant DOE-SC0009946 from the US Department of Energy. BWL thanks Jon Butterworth and University College London for support as a UCL Honorary Senior Research Associate.

References

[1] B. W. Lynn, G. D. Starkman and R. Stora, *Hidden $U(1)_Y$ Ward-Takahashi identities in the spontaneously broken Abelian Higgs model and the decoupling of certain heavy particles in its simple extensions*, arXiv:1509.06471v2, (2016).

[2] P. Ramond, *Journeys Beyond the Standard Model*, Westview/Perseus Press, Cambridge MA, 2004.

[3] C.Becchi, A.Rouet and R.Stora, *Renormalization of Gauge Theories*, Ann. Phys. 98 (1976) 287.

[4] J.C.Taylor, *Gauge Theories of Weak Interactions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1976.

[5] P. W. Higgs, *Broken symmetries, massless particles and gauge fields*, Phys. Lett. 12 (1964) 132 .

[6] P. W. Higgs, *Broken Symmetries and the Masses of Gauge Bosons*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 508.

[7] F. Englert and R. Brout, *Broken Symmetry and the Mass of Gauge Vector Mesons*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 321.

[8] G. S. Guralnik, C. R. Hagen and T. W. B. Kibble, *Global Conservation Laws and Massless Particles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 585.

[9] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*, McGraw Hill, New York, 1980.

[10] G. 't Hooft, *Renormalization of Massless Yang-Mills Fields*, Nucl. Phys. B 33 (1971) 173.

[11] J. Goldstone, *Field theories with superconductor solutions*, Nuovo Cim. *19*, 154 (1961).

[12] J. Goldstone, A. Salam and S. Weinberg, *Broken Symmetries*, Phys. Rev. *127* (1962) 965.

[13] Stephen L. Adler, *Consistency Conditions on the Strong Interactions Implied by a Partially Conserved Axial-Vector Current. II*, Phys. Rev. 139 (1965) B1638.

[14] T.W.B. Kibble, *Symmetry breaking in non-abelian gauge theories*, Phys. Rev. 155 (1967) 1554.

[15] S.-H. Henry Tye and Y. Vtorov-Karevsky, *Effective action of spontaneously broken gauge theories*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. *A13* (1998) 95; arXiv hep-th 9601176

[16] S. Coleman, E. Weinberg, *Radiative Corrections as the Origin of Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking*, Phys. Rev. D 7 (1998) 1888.

[17] T. Appelquist and J. Carazzone, *Infrared singularities and massive fields*, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 2856.

[18] Murray R. Spiegel, *Mathematical handbook of formulas and tables*, Schaum's outline series. McGraw Hill, New York, St. Louis, San Francisco, 1968.

A Solving the Ward-Takahashi Identities

Equation (1.13) is a recursion relation among the 1-ϕ-I connected truncated Green's functions of the theory. It relates a Green's function with N external h legs and M external π legs to two Green's functions, both with fewer external h legs – one with $N-1$ external h and $M+2$ external π legs, and the other with $N-2$ external h and $M+2$ external π legs. This relation can then be applied again and again until one reaches an expression containing only Green's functions with no external h legs on the right hand side. The result of this repeated application of the recursion relation will therefore take the form

$$\Gamma_{N,M} = \sum_{\Delta m} a_{N,\Delta m} \Gamma_{0,M+\Delta m}. \tag{A.1}$$

We proceed to calculate $a_{N,\Delta m}$.

We begin by labeling the term that lowers N by 1 an o-type term and the term that lowers N by 2 an e-type term. To keep track of different terms generated in the repeated application of (1.13), we construct strings of e's and o's. For example, "*eoee*" corresponds to a term generated by an e-type term in the first recursion followed by an o-type term, followed by two e-type terms. Different strings with the same numbers of e's and o's correspond to terms with the same Δm but with different intermediate coefficients. The coefficients of all possible strings of a given Δm must be summed to give $a_{N,\Delta m}$.

The absence of external h legs on the right-hand side of eq. (A.1) forces $N = p + 2q$ and $\Delta m = 2p + 2q = 2(N-q)$, where p is the number of e's and q is the number of o's in a given string.

For a given N, q can take $\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor + 1$ different values since $q = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor$, corresponding to $\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor + 1$ different values of Δm. Equation (A.1) therefore can be rewritten as

$$\Gamma_{N,M} = \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} a_{N,q} \Gamma_{0,M+2(N-q)}. \tag{A.2}$$

For a given string of e's and o's, each o contributes a factor of $\frac{\langle H\rangle}{M+1}$ and each e contributes $\frac{N-1}{M+1}$. Clearly these depend on the position of the letter in the string, and the length of the string.

For a string of e's and o's, say $eooeoeo$, we label each e and o by a pair of indices j, k. The leftmost entry in the string is labelled $j = 0, k = 1$. Each e or o in the string increases j by two (for the next entry); each o increases k by 1, while each e increases k by 2. The rightmost term for string of length $p+q$ will therefore be labelled by $j = 2(N-q)$, independent of the ordering of e's and o's, while the k label will depend on the ordering. To each o we can associate its correct factor (as given above), $\frac{\langle H\rangle}{M+1+j}$, and to each e its factor, $\frac{N-k}{M+1+j}$. These are multiplied together to get the contribution of this string to $a_{N,q}$.

Disregarding momentarily the $N-k$ in the numerators of the e factors, the rest of the contributions are the same for permutations of a string of p e's and q o's:

$$\frac{\langle H\rangle^{(N-2q)}}{(M+1)(M+3)\dots\big(M+2(N-q)+1\big)} = \frac{\langle H\rangle^{(N-2q)}\,(M+1)!!}{(M+2N-2q-1)!!} \tag{A.3}$$

To find the correct numerator, we must construct all possible strings of p e's and q o's satisfying $p+2q=N$, evaluate their numerator, and then sum them. For a given value of p and q, there are therefore $\frac{(N-q)!}{p!q!}$ different strings. Each e in a string contributes $(N-k)$ to the numerator of that string. The full numerator (excluding the overall contribution included in equation (A.3)) can therefore be expressed as a nested sum:

$$\sum_{i_1=1}^{N-(2q-1)}(N-i_1)\Bigg(\sum_{i_2=i_1+2}^{N-(2q-3)}(N-i_2)\Bigg(\dots\Bigg(\sum_{i_{q-1}=i_{q-2}+2}^{N-3}(N-i_{q-1})\Big(\sum_{i_q=i_{q-1}+2}^{N-1}(N-i_q)\Big)\dots\Bigg)\Bigg). \tag{A.4}$$

The leftmost sum (over i_1) accounts for the possible locations of the leftmost e in a string of length $(N-q)$. The second sum accounts for the possible locations of the second-leftmost e, and so on. Since each of the q e's in the string contributes a single sum, there are in total q nested sums.

To evaluate (A.4), we start by evaluating the rightmost (i.e. innermost) sum

$$\sum_{i_q=i_{q-1}+2}^{N-1}(N-i_q) = \frac{1}{2}(N-i_{q-1}-1)(N-i_{q-1}-2). \tag{A.5}$$

This can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}(N-i_{q-1}-2)_2\,, \tag{A.6}$$

where $(x)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol, defined by

$$(x)_n \equiv \frac{\Gamma(x+n)}{n} = n!\binom{x+n-1}{x-1}. \tag{A.7}$$

A summation identity of Pochhammer symbols will prove very useful:

$$\sum_{x=1}^{m}(x)_n = \frac{1}{n+1}(m)_{n+1}\,. \tag{A.8}$$

This can be proved using the so-called multiset identity [18]

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{m+k-1}{k} = \binom{n+m}{n}. \tag{A.9}$$

It is also easy to see that the Pochhammer symbol satisfies the recursion relation

$$(x+n)(x)_n = (x)_{n+1}. \tag{A.10}$$

We can use equations (A.10) and (A.6) to express the second sum from the right in (A.4) as

$$\sum_{i_{q-1}=i_{q-2}+2}^{N-3} \frac{1}{2}(N - i_{q-1} - 2)_3. \tag{A.11}$$

To use (A.8), we first rewrite this as

$$\sum_{i_{q-1}=1}^{N-i_{q-2}-4} \frac{1}{2}(N - i_{q-1} - i_{q-2} - 3)_3\,. \tag{A.12}$$

We then define $x = N - i_{q-1} - i_{q-2} - 3$ and use (A.8) to get

$$\sum_{x=1}^{N-i_{q-2}-4} \frac{1}{2}(x)_3 = \frac{1}{8}(N - i_{q-2} - 4)_4. \tag{A.13}$$

We continue in a similar fashion through the rest of the q nested sums in (A.4). The lower limit of each sum can be made 1 by shifting i_{q-j} downward by $i_{q-j-1} + 1$, and then defining a dummy variable $x_j \equiv N - i_{q-j} - i_{q-j-1} - (2j+1)$. Each individual sum then takes the form

$$\sum_{x_j=1}^{N-i_{q-(j+1)}-2(j+1)} \frac{1}{(2j)!!}(x_j)_{2j-1}. \tag{A.14}$$

As we step outward (leftward) through the sums, the index n of the Pochhammer symbol increases by 2, the argument of the Pochhammer symbol decreases by two, and we pick up an overall factor of $\frac{1}{2j}$. We perform the first $q-1$ sums and we are left with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i_1=1}^{N-(2q-1)} \frac{1}{(2q-2)!!}(N - i_1)\big(N - i - (2q-2)\big)_{2q-2} \\
&= \sum_{i_1=1}^{N-(2q-1)} \frac{1}{(2q-2)!!}\big(N - i - (2q-2)\big)_{2q-1} \\
&= \frac{N!}{(2q)!!(N-2q)!}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

where we have used the identities (A.10) and (A.8), and the definition of the Pochhammer symbol (A.7).

Putting everything together, the coefficients $a_{N,q}$ become

$$\frac{\langle H\rangle^{N-2q}\,(M-1)!!N!}{(2q)!!\big(M+2(N-q)-1\big)!!(N-2q)!}, \tag{A.16}$$

and each $\Gamma_{N,M}$ is expressed as a linear superposition of $\Gamma_{0,m}$ as

$$\Gamma_{N,M} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(M-1)!!N!}{(M+2N-2k-1)!!} \frac{\langle H\rangle^{N-2k}}{(2k)!!(N-2k)!} \Gamma_{0,M+2(N-k)}. \tag{A.17}$$